Case 1:99 ev-00635/SLR Document 124
- V-Filed 02/13/2007 Page 1 of 6 Civil Action 99-638 - V-Sue L Robinsor Star Taylor DORIGINAL Raphel Williams Rotice of Appeal Notice of Appeal is-to be enter on or after 45 days From the Judgment I enter a motion For rule GO(B) Keliof From the Judgment of Fraudenter on Dec 16,2006 by Judge Suc L. Kobinson, more to reverse the decision of the court because of the Frond and the Judgement being sent me 3 weekt after the Judgment at hand Respectully Submitted C.C.
Richard W. Houbbard

C.C.

Richard W. Hubbard 120 Frenchtto St. Jamuel Toole 1015 Colhane St WilmACC Pro 5c. 19801

1015 Colhance Chester PA 19013 Somue Case 1:99-000635-SLR Document 124 Filed 02/13/2007 Page 2 of 6

Stan Taylor

Rappel Williams

Rule 60(B) relief From the Judg ment base upon Fraud From the defendant counsel and mirrepresention of the discovery and the intervoga torier, Fraud and Perjury war presented in the discovery and serve to me and the court Fiske V. Budes, C.C. A. 8th 1942, 125 F2S 891; Sec also Bucy V. Nevada Const. Co. C.C.A. 9th 1942/125 1=21213 on the Fraud war exter as ground for relief From Judgment, 1941, Y fed Ruler Serv. 942, 945, The amendment settles this problem by making Fraud on express . ground - for relief by motion See More and Rogers. Federal Relief From Civil Judgment, 1946, 55 yake LJ 623, 653 to 659 and Sec 3 moore's Sedaral Practice, 1938, 3267 et Jeg this doer not limit the power of the court When Froud has been prepetrated upon it see Hazel-Atlas glass Co. V. Har Food Empire Co. 1944, 64 S. Ct 997. U.S. 238, 88 L. Ed. 1250, the time limit for the velict by motion in the court and in the action in which the Judgment war vendered hav & been enlarged From SIX month to I year,

Case 1:99-cv-00635-SLR Document 124 Filed 02/13/2007 Page 3 of 6

Because of this Perjury and interrogatories was file to me by the Desendents Cite ar 104 S. Ct. 2218 (1984) and the Judge Suc L. Kobinson, Biar and Pregdice in this ease by entering the Frond and by possing my right of the 5th amendment and 8th amendment. 5 amanend ment when when the Judge abuse of discretion when she order the discovery act as my coursel is getting it Froud and the Full discovery war never presented She was told 3 time and did nothing Anderson V. Lloerty Lobby, Inc. 477 U.S. 242, 247 (1986) Fed R. C.v. P. 56(6) Anderson, 477 U. S. at 256. Meritorious de Sense, By the Judge and the defendanter that war raise 96 rite V. Worma Corp., C.A.PA, 732 F 20/ 1178 1181 the third Cir. Case and Supreme Court Case har nothing to do with this ease Last injunt Dovis V. Gruse meyer Citicar 996 F. 2d C17 (3rd civ 1993), this deal With only The 8t amendment they use third Cir core that hav nothing to do with what war done to me on the 5/our but 99-634' doer that is the only way thoy could try and use this Supreme Court Case deal with illegally detain and they are the base of the Supreme Court case Nothing to downthe the policie maker under Sed Kule 455 Lecused at a - Sedoral Judge this is also stated in the Last notion that war Sile to this Court,

Case 1:99-cv-00635-SLR Document 124 Filed 02/13/2007 Page 4 of 6 I am request to reverse the Judgment and Recuse! the Jedaral Judge the Court to order the discovery in 30 days and they request for a trial in favor of the Plaintist because of the Fraud and Perjury that was enter -ed by the defendants Respectably Sula mitted Samuel Thoole -1015 Colhans St Chester PA 2-4-07 Certificol C.C. Richard W. Foldbord 820 Spench St (S) Willing D6 19013 19801

## <u>AFFIDAVIT AND</u> PROOF OF SERVICE

NOW COMES. ANDAFIRMS THAT HE IS THE THE AFORE CAPTIONED AND ATTACHED MATTER, THAT THE WITHIN LITIGANT IN AVERMENTS AND FACTS IN SUPPORT THEREFORE ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF HIS PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, RECALL, KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, UNDER PENALTY FOR PERJURY. HE FURTHER AFFIRMS AND AVERS THAT HE HAS SERVED COPIES OF THE FOREGOING AND ATTACHED DOCUMENTS BY FIRET-CLASS MAIL TO THE PARTIES LISTED BELOW, BY HAVING PLACED THEM IN THE AUTHORITIES FOR MAILING, AS RULED PROPERLY AND TIMELY "FILED" BY AND IN HOUSTON vs LACK, 108 s. ct 2379, at 2383 (1988).

PRISON OFFICIALS, FOR THE I CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS GIVEN TO SAID COURT. I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY FORWARDING TO THE THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. 28 114 S.C. SEC. 1746.

Copie Rotice of Appeal Rule 60(B)

C.C Certified Richard W. 76 Lburd 820 French St 681. C10-497-0719

1018 Colhans 5t

Chester PA

Samved 71 Toole 1011-Cellboar ST Chester PA

wellinder Herrich Herrich Handle Hall hall hardel

Jerk of Coute Syll- (2) & Lockbox





